

DECOMPOSITION OF THE NONABELIAN TENSOR PRODUCT OF LIE ALGEBRAS VIA THE DIAGONAL IDEAL

PEYMAN NIROOMAND, FARANGIS JOHARI, MOHSEN PARVIZI,
AND FRANCESCO G. RUSSO

ABSTRACT. We prove a theorem of splitting for the nonabelian tensor product $L \otimes N$ of a pair (L, N) of Lie algebras L and N in terms of its diagonal ideal $L \square N$ and of the nonabelian exterior product $L \wedge N$. A similar circumstance was described two years ago by the second author in the special case $N = L$. The interest is due to the fact that the size of $L \square N$ influences strongly the structure of $L \otimes N$. Another question, often related to the structure of $L \otimes N$, deals with the behaviour of the operator $\square$ with respect to the formation of free products. We answer with another theorem of splitting even in this case, noting some connections with the homotopy theory.

1. INTRODUCTION AND MOTIVATION

Following [4, 5, 15], the *Schur multiplier of the pair* (L, N) , where L is a Lie algebra with ideal N , is the abelian Lie algebra $M(L, N)$ which appears in the following natural exact sequence of Mayer–Vietoris type

$$H_3(L, \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow H_3(L/N, \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow M(L, N) \longrightarrow M(L) \longrightarrow M(L/N) \longrightarrow \\ \longrightarrow \frac{L}{[L, N]} \longrightarrow \frac{L}{[L, L]} \longrightarrow \frac{L}{[L, L] + N} \longrightarrow 0,$$

in which we may note the third homology Lie algebras $H_3(L, \mathbb{Z})$ and $H_3(L/N, \mathbb{Z})$ with coefficients in the ring $\mathbb{Z}$ of the integers and the two homology Lie algebras $M(L) = H_2(L, \mathbb{Z})$ and $M(L/N) = H_2(L/N, \mathbb{Z})$, again over $\mathbb{Z}$. The notion of $M(L, N)$ is in fact categorical and can be formulated both for groups and Lie algebras (see [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 15]). On another hand, the *nonabelian tensor product* $L \otimes N$ of L and N is defined by generators and relations via suitable actions of L on N , and viceversa. Following [4, 5, 8], an action of L on N (L and N are supposed to be over the same field F) is an F -bilinear map $(l, n) \in L \times N \mapsto {}^l n \in N$ satisfying $[{}^l, {}^{l'}]n = {}^l({}^{l'}n) - {}^{l'}({}^l n)$ and $[n, n'] = [{}^l n, n'] + [n, {}^{l'} n']$ for all $l, l' \in L$ and $n, n' \in N$. Note that the Lie multiplication induces the action of L on N , in fact, L acts on N via ${}^l n = [l, n]$. Since L and N act on each other, and on themselves, by Lie multiplication, we say that their actions are *compatible*, when ${}^{n'}({}^l n) = {}^{n'}({}^l n)$ and ${}^{l'}({}^n n) = {}^{l'}({}^n n)$ for all $l, l' \in L$ and $n, n' \in N$. Now $L \otimes N$ is the Lie algebra generated by the symbols $l \otimes n$ with defining relations $c(l \otimes n) = cl \otimes n = l \otimes cn$, $(l + l') \otimes n = l \otimes n + l' \otimes n$, $l \otimes (n + n') = l \otimes n + l \otimes n'$, ${}^{l'} \otimes n = l \otimes {}^{l'} n - l' \otimes {}^l n$, $l \otimes {}^{n'} n = {}^{n'} l \otimes n - {}^n l \otimes n'$, $[l \otimes n, l' \otimes n'] = - {}^n l \otimes {}^{l'} n'$, where $c \in F$, $l, l' \in L$ and

Date: February 3, 2015.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 17B30; 17B60; 17B99.

Key words and phrases. Lie algebras, Schur multiplier, cohomology, free product, nonabelian tensor product.

$n, n' \in N$. In case $L = N$ and all actions are given by Lie multiplication, $L \otimes L$ is called *nonabelian tensor square* of L . Note that the nonabelian tensor product always exists and, in particular, we find the usual abelian tensor product $L \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} N$, when L and N are abelian and the actions are all by Lie multiplication, compatible and trivial.

This construction plays a fundamental role in algebraic topology and homology; the reader may refer to [12, 13, 14, 15] for recent contributions in the theory of Lie algebras, but also to [6, 7, 9, 11, 16] for analogies with the context of groups. In particular, [7, 8, 13] inspired most of the ideas that we are going to show in the present paper. We recall from [4, 5, 12, 15, 17] that it is possible to get the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & 0 & & 0 & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 \Gamma\left(\frac{N}{[N, L]}\right) & \longrightarrow & J_2(L, N) & \longrightarrow & M(L, N) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 (1.1) \quad \Gamma\left(\frac{N}{[N, L]}\right) & \xrightarrow{\psi} & L \otimes N & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_{L, N}} & L \wedge N & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow \kappa_{L, N} & & \downarrow \kappa'_{L, N} & & \\
 & & [L, N] & \xlongequal{\quad} & [L, N] & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & 0 & & 0 & &
 \end{array}$$

where $\kappa_{L, N} : l \otimes n \in L \otimes N \mapsto \kappa_{L, N}(l \otimes n) = [l, n] \in [L, N]$ is an epimorphism of Lie algebras such that $J_2(L, N) = \ker \kappa_{L, N} \subseteq Z(L \otimes N)$. Note that $J_2(L, L) = J_2(L)$ was described in [5, pp.109–110] when $N = L$. On the other hand,

$$L \square N = \langle n \otimes n \mid n \in L \cap N \rangle$$

is an ideal of $L \otimes N$ contained in $Z(L \otimes N)$, called *diagonal ideal* of $L \otimes N$. Its properties are discussed in [4, 5, 12, 13, 15] when $L = N$. The natural epimorphism $\varepsilon_{L, N} : l \otimes n \in L \otimes N \mapsto (l \otimes n) + L \square N \in L \otimes N / L \square N$ allows us to form the Lie algebra quotient

$$L \wedge N = \frac{L \otimes N}{L \square N} = \langle l \otimes n + (L \square N) \mid l \in L, n \in N \rangle = \langle l \wedge n \mid l \in L, n \in N \rangle,$$

called *nonabelian exterior product* of L and N . The natural epimorphism $\kappa'_{L, N} : l \wedge n \in L \wedge N \mapsto \kappa'_{L, N}(l \wedge n) = [l, n] \in [L, N]$ is such that $M(L, N) \simeq \ker \kappa'_{L, N} \subseteq Z(L \wedge N)$: this is one of many connections between the theory of Schur multipliers of pairs and that of nonabelian tensor products. The reference give more details on this aspect. We also note that the columns of (1.1) are central extensions, while the rows of (1.1) form two long exact sequences. In fact Γ denotes the *quadratic Whitehead functor* and ψ the *quadratic Whitehead function*, properly defined in [5, Definition, p.107] (see also [17] for a categorical definition of Γ and ψ).

Since the notion of *dimension* for a Lie algebra is in a certain sense “more geometric than algebraic”, we cannot expect full analogies with respect to the results in [6, 9, 11, 16], when we replace this notion with that of order of a group. In

fact the second and the fourth author have investigated the role of the homological invariants between Lie algebras and finite groups, making specific studies in [12, 13, 14, 15] during the last years. We continue the same line of research. In Section 2 we prove a theorem of splitting for $L \otimes N$ via $L \square N$ and $L \wedge N$. This generalizes results in [13] when $N = L$. Section 3 describes the role of $\square$ with respect to the operator $*$ of free product: another theorem of splitting is shown here.

2. SPLITTING OF $\otimes$ VIA $\square$ AND $\wedge$

We begin with elementary facts, which follow from the exactness of (1.1). Our first lemma generalizes [5, Proposition 17] when $N \neq L$.

Lemma 2.1. *Let N be an ideal of a Lie algebra L . If $N/[N, L]$ is free, then*

$$0 \longrightarrow \Gamma\left(\frac{N}{[N, L]}\right) \xrightarrow{\psi} L \otimes N \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_{L, N}} L \wedge N \longrightarrow 0$$

is an exact sequence.

Proof. We just need to prove that

$$\psi : \gamma(n + [N, L]) \in \Gamma\left(\frac{N}{[N, L]}\right) \mapsto n \otimes n \in L \otimes N$$

is injective. Let $\theta : L \otimes N \rightarrow L/[N, L] \otimes N/[N, L]$ be the homomorphism induced by the natural projection $l \in L \mapsto l + [N, L] \in L/[N, L]$. Then

$$\frac{L}{[N, L]} \otimes \frac{N}{[N, L]} \simeq \frac{L}{[N, L]} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \frac{N}{[N, L]}$$

is a free Lie algebra, and so is $\Gamma(N/[N, L])$. Moreover, the composition $\theta \circ \psi$ maps a basis of $\Gamma(N/[N, L])$ injectively into a part of a basis of $L/[N, L] \otimes N/[N, L]$. Thus $\theta \circ \psi$ is injective. This is enough to conclude that ψ is injective. $\square$

In general, the columns of (1.1) are short exact sequences, but not the rows. However, if $N/[N, L]$ is free, then Lemma 2.1 allows us to conclude that even the rows of (1.1) become short exact sequences.

Corollary 2.2. *Let N be an ideal of a Lie algebra L such that $N/[N, L]$ is free. Then the following*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \Gamma\left(\frac{N}{[N, L]}\right) & \longrightarrow & J_2(L, N) & \longrightarrow & M(L, N) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \Gamma\left(\frac{N}{[N, L]}\right) & \longrightarrow & L \otimes N & \longrightarrow & L \wedge N \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

is a commutative diagram with short exact sequences as rows.

Proof. Application of Lemma 2.1 to (1.1). $\square$

A crucial step, which is fundamental for our aims, deals with the description of the natural epimorphism

$$(2.1) \quad \pi : l \otimes n \in L \otimes N \mapsto (l + [N, L]) \otimes (n + [N, L]) \in \frac{L}{[N, L]} \otimes \frac{N}{[N, L]}$$

and its restriction

$$(2.2) \quad \pi| : n \square n \in L \square N \mapsto (n + [N, L]) \square (n + [N, L]) \in \frac{L}{[N, L]} \square \frac{N}{[N, L]}.$$

The kernel of (2.1) is studied in the next result. We inform the reader that we will use the notion of *Lie pairing* in the next proof. This can be found in [5, pp.101–102], together with its fundamental properties.

Lemma 2.3. *Let N be an ideal of a Lie algebra L , π as in (2.1) and*

$$M = (L \otimes [N, L]) + ([N, L] \otimes N).$$

Then $\ker \pi = M$.

Proof. Since $L \otimes N \supseteq M$ and M is an ideal of $L \otimes N$, M induces the homomorphism

$$\bar{\pi} : (l \otimes n) + M \in \frac{L \otimes N}{M} \mapsto (l + [N, L]) \otimes (n + [N, L]) \in \frac{L}{[N, L]} \otimes \frac{N}{[N, L]}.$$

On the other hand, define

$$\alpha : (l + [N, L], n + [N, L]) \in \frac{L}{[N, L]} \times \frac{N}{[N, L]} \mapsto (l \otimes n) + M \in \frac{L \otimes N}{M}.$$

It is easy to check that α is well defined. Now for all $l_1, l_2 \in L$ and $n_1, n_2 \in N$

$$\begin{aligned} & \alpha([l_1 + [N, L], l_2 + [N, L]], n_1 + [N, L]) \\ &= ([l_1, l_2] \otimes n_1) + M = (l_1 \otimes [l_2, n_1] - l_2 \otimes [l_1, n_1]) + M \\ &= \alpha(l_1 + [N, L], [l_2, n_1] + [N, L]) - \alpha(l_2 + [N, L], [l_1, n_1] + [N, L]). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, it is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \alpha(n_1 + [N, L], l_1 + [N, L]) - \alpha(n_2 + [N, L], l_2 + [N, L]) \\ &= -[\alpha(l_1 + [N, L], n_1 + [N, L]), \alpha(l_2 + [N, L], n_2 + [N, L])] \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \alpha(l_1 + [N, L], [n_1, n_2] + [N, L]) \\ &= \alpha(n_2 + [N, L], l_1 + [N, L]) - \alpha(n_1 + [N, L], l_1 + [N, L]) + \alpha(n_1 + [N, L], n_2 + [N, L]). \end{aligned}$$

Thus α is a Lie pairing and induces the homomorphism

$$\bar{\alpha} : \frac{L}{[N, L]} \otimes \frac{N}{[N, L]} \longrightarrow \frac{L \otimes N}{M}$$

such that $\bar{\pi} \circ \bar{\alpha} = \bar{\alpha} \circ \bar{\pi} = 1$. Therefore

$$\frac{L}{[N, L]} \otimes \frac{N}{[N, L]} \simeq \frac{L \otimes N}{M}$$

and the result follows. $\square$

The assumption that a Lie algebra must be of finite dimension appears now for the first time. The main results of the present section will deal with this kind of Lie algebras only.

Remark 2.4. Lemma 2.1 and Corollary 2.2 are true in particular when we replace the assumption that $N/[N, L]$ is free with $N/[N, L]$ of finite dimension. The above conditions are satisfied, for instance, when L is of finite dimension.

Firstly, we describe $\Gamma(N/[N, L])$ (in (1.1)) via a suitable isomorphism with $L \square N$.

Corollary 2.5. *Let N be an ideal of a Lie algebra L such that $N/[N, L]$ is of finite dimension. Then*

$$\Gamma\left(\frac{N}{[N, L]}\right) \simeq L \square N \simeq \frac{L}{[N, L]} \square \frac{N}{[N, L]}.$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.1, $\text{Im } \psi = L \square N \simeq \Gamma(N/[N, L])$. On the other hand,

$$\Gamma\left(\frac{N}{[N, L]}\right) \simeq \frac{L}{[N, L]} \square \frac{N}{[N, L]}.$$

Thus $L \square N \simeq L/[N, L] \square N/[N, L]$, as required. $\square$

Secondly, we describe better one of the isomorphisms in Corollary 2.5.

Lemma 2.6. *Let N be an ideal of a Lie algebra L such that $N/[N, L]$ is of finite dimension. Then the natural epimorphism (2.2) is injective and so it is an isomorphism.*

Proof. By Corollary 2.5, we have $\dim L \square N = \dim L/[N, L] \square N/[N, L]$ and so (2.2) is an isomorphism, because it is an epimorphism of abelian Lie algebras of same dimension. $\square$

Now we begin to look for information on the bases of those Lie algebras, which will be involved in the main results of the present section. The abelian case plays a fundamental role and is discussed in the next result.

Proposition 2.7. *Let $N = \langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m \rangle$ be an ideal of dimension m of an abelian Lie algebra $L = \langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m, y_{m+1}, y_{m+2}, \dots, y_n \rangle$ of dimension n . Then*

$$L \otimes N \simeq (L \square N) \oplus \langle y_j \otimes x_t \mid 1 \leq t \leq m, m+1 \leq j \leq n \rangle.$$

Proof. From the decomposition of finite dimensional abelian Lie algebras (see [10]) in one dimensional ideals, we have

$$L \simeq \bigoplus_{i=m+1}^n \langle y_i \rangle \oplus \bigoplus_{j=1}^m \langle x_j \rangle, \text{ where } N \simeq \bigoplus_{j=1}^m \langle x_j \rangle$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} L \otimes N &\simeq \langle x_i \otimes x_t + x_t \otimes x_i, x_i \otimes x_i \mid 1 \leq i < t \leq m \rangle \\ &\oplus \langle y_j \otimes x_t \mid 1 \leq t \leq m, m+1 \leq j \leq n \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Now the result follows from the fact that

$$L \square N \simeq \langle x_i \otimes x_t + x_t \otimes x_i, x_i \otimes x_i \mid 1 \leq i < t \leq m \rangle.$$

$\square$

We are ready to prove the first main result of the present section.

Theorem 2.8. *Let N be an ideal of a Lie algebra L such that $L/[N, L]$ is of dimension n with basis $\{\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2, \dots, \bar{x}_m, \bar{y}_{m+1}, \bar{y}_{m+2}, \dots, \bar{y}_n\}$ and $N/[N, L]$ of dimension m with basis $\{\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2, \dots, \bar{x}_m\}$. Then*

$$\frac{L}{[N, L]} \otimes \frac{N}{[N, L]} \simeq \left(\frac{L}{[N, L]} \square \frac{N}{[N, L]} \right) \oplus \langle \bar{y}_j \otimes \bar{x}_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq m, m+1 \leq j \leq n \rangle.$$

Proof. We do two observations. The first is that $[L/[N, L], N/[N, L]] = 0$. The second is that the actions of $L/[N, L]$ on $N/[N, L]$, and viceversa of $N/[N, L]$ on $L/[N, L]$, are trivial, compatible and by conjugation. Then we may conclude that

$$\frac{L}{[N, L]} \otimes \frac{N}{[N, L]} \simeq \left(\frac{L}{[N, L]} \right)^{ab} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \frac{N}{[N, L]}.$$

From Corollary 2.2, we have

$$\left(\frac{L}{[N, L]}\right)^{ab} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \frac{N}{[N, L]} \simeq \left(\left(\frac{L}{[N, L]}\right)^{ab} \square \frac{N}{[N, L]}\right) \oplus \left(\left(\frac{L}{[N, L]}\right)^{ab} \wedge \frac{N}{[N, L]}\right),$$

where the abelian Lie algebra factor $(L/[N, L])^{ab} \wedge N/[N, L]$ admits a basis exactly of the form $\{\bar{y}_j \otimes \bar{x}_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq m, m+1 \leq j \leq n\}$ while the other abelian Lie algebra factor is $(L/[N, L])^{ab} \square N/[N, L] \simeq L/[N, L] \square N/[N, L]$. Then the result follows from Proposition 2.7. $\square$

Of course, Theorem 2.8 is true when the entire L is of finite dimension, not only its factor $L/[N, L]$. The second main result of this section may be formulated again with the restriction of finite dimension on the factor $L/[N, L]$. It describes a pure splitting of the operator $\otimes$ with respect to the operators $\square$ and $\wedge$.

Theorem 2.9. *Let N be an ideal of a Lie algebra L such that $L/[N, L]$ is of finite dimension. Then*

$$L \otimes N \simeq (L \square N) \oplus (L \wedge N).$$

Proof. Following the notations of Theorem 2.8, we note that

$$\frac{L}{[N, L]} \otimes \frac{N}{[N, L]} \simeq \left(\frac{L}{[N, L]} \square \frac{N}{[N, L]}\right) \oplus \langle \bar{y}_j \otimes \bar{x}_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq m, m+1 \leq j \leq n \rangle.$$

On the other hand, π_1 in (2.2) is an isomorphism by Lemma 2.6 and so

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{L}{[N, L]} \square \frac{N}{[N, L]}\right) \oplus \langle \bar{y}_j \otimes \bar{x}_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq m, m+1 \leq j \leq n \rangle \\ &= \pi_1((L \square N) + \langle y_j \otimes x_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq m, m+1 \leq j \leq n \rangle), \end{aligned}$$

where $\{\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2, \dots, \bar{x}_m\}$ is a basis of $N/[N, L]$ and $\{\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2, \dots, \bar{x}_m, \bar{y}_{m+1}, \bar{y}_{m+2}, \dots, \bar{y}_n\}$ of $L/[N, L]$. Following the notation adopted in Lemma 2.3, we find that

$$L \otimes N = (L \square N) + \langle y_j \otimes x_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq m, m+1 \leq j \leq n \rangle + M.$$

Now (2.2) maps all the elements of $(L \square N) \cap (\langle y_j \otimes x_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq m, m+1 \leq j \leq n \rangle + M)$ to zero, and so

$$L \otimes N \simeq (L \square N) \oplus (\langle y_j \otimes x_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq m, m+1 \leq j \leq n \rangle + M).$$

Since we may easily check that $L \wedge N \simeq \langle y_j \otimes x_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq m, m+1 \leq j \leq n \rangle + M$, the result follows. $\square$

The role of the Lie algebra $J_2(L, N)$ has been investigated in [5] when $N = L$ and it is related to the homotopy theory in the sense of [5, Theorems 27, 28]. There is not a version of [5, Theorems 27, 28], when $N \neq L$, even if some ideas can be found in [7, Theorems 1, 2, 4, 5] for the case of groups. This emphasizes the following consequence of Theorem 2.9.

Corollary 2.10. *Let N be an ideal of a Lie algebra L such that $L/[N, L]$ is of finite dimension. Then*

$$J_2(L, N) \simeq (L \square N) \oplus M(L, N).$$

Proof. By Theorem 2.9,

$$\begin{aligned} J_2(L, N) &= ((L \square N) \oplus (\langle y_j \otimes x_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq m, m+1 \leq j \leq n \rangle + M)) \cap J_2(L, N) \\ &= (L \square N) \oplus (\langle y_j \otimes x_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq m, m+1 \leq j \leq n \rangle + M) \cap J_2(L, N). \end{aligned}$$

The rest follows by Corollary 2.2; specifically by $J_2(L, N)/(L \square N) \simeq M(L, N)$. $\square$

3. KÜNNETH-TYPE FORMULAS

Dealing with homology of algebraic structures, there are some standard results, which help to understand the splitting of the second homology Lie algebra (with integral coefficients) with respect to direct sums. Of course, one may ask whether similar formulas happen for other operators, and not necessarily for the sum with respect to the second homology functor. We list some of these splittings in our context of investigation. They are often called *Künneth type formulas*, due to the original version of Künneth (see [10])

Proposition 3.1 (See Propositions 15, 21, Theorem 35 of [5]). *Two Lie algebras H and K satisfy the conditions:*

- (i) $\Gamma((H \oplus K)^{ab}) \simeq \Gamma(H^{ab}) \oplus \Gamma(K^{ab}) \oplus (H^{ab} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} K^{ab})$;
- (ii) $M(H \oplus K) \simeq M(H) \oplus M(K) \oplus (H^{ab} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} K^{ab})$;
- (iii) $J_2(H \oplus K) \simeq J_2(H) \oplus J_2(K) \oplus (H^{ab} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} K^{ab}) \oplus (K^{ab} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} H^{ab})$.

Another version of Proposition 3.1 can be formulated, when we consider the *free product* $H * K$ of H and K in the usual sense (see [10]). Roughly speaking, the “nonlinear” factor $H^{ab} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} K^{ab}$ in (ii) above vanishes in (ii) below, and so there is a perfect splitting. Similarly, $K^{ab} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} H^{ab}$ in (iii) above vanishes in (iii) below.

Proposition 3.2 (See Proposition 19 and its proof in [5]). *Two Lie algebras H and K satisfy the conditions:*

- (i) $\Gamma((H * K)^{ab}) \simeq \Gamma(H^{ab}) \oplus \Gamma(K^{ab}) \oplus (H^{ab} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} K^{ab})$;
- (ii) $M(H * K) \simeq M(H) \oplus M(K)$;
- (iii) $J_2(H * K) \simeq J_2(H) \oplus J_2(K) \oplus (H^{ab} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} K^{ab})$.

What happens to Propositions 3.1 and 3.2 for pairs of Lie algebras? Of course, we expect to have generalizations. The answer is positive and can be found in the context of groups in [6, 7, 11], even if some authors are beginning to investigate pairs of Lie algebras in this perspective (see [1, 2, 3, 13, 15]). This motivated us to prove the following result.

Theorem 3.3. *Let N_1 and N_2 two ideals of two finite dimensional Lie algebras L_1 and L_2 such that $(L_1 * L_2, N_1 * N_2)$ is a pair. Then*

- (i) $J_2(L_1 * L_2, N_1 * N_2) \simeq J_2(L_1, N_1) \oplus J_2(L_2, N_2) \oplus \left(\frac{N_1}{[N_1, L_1]} \otimes \frac{N_2}{[N_2, L_2]} \right)$;
- (ii) $(L_1 * L_2) \square (N_1 * N_2) \simeq (L_1 \oplus N_1) \square (L_2 \oplus N_2)$;
- (iii) $M(L_1 * L_2, N_1 * N_2) \simeq M(L_1, N_1) \oplus M(L_2, N_2)$, whenever N_1 admits a complement in L_1 such that $[N_1, L_1] = [L_1, L_1]$.

Proof. (i). The natural monomorphisms $l_1 \in L_1 \mapsto l_1 \in L_1 * L_2$, $l_2 \in L_2 \mapsto l_2 \in L_1 * L_2$, $n_1 \in N_1 \mapsto n_1 \in N_1 * N_2$ and $n_2 \in N_2 \mapsto n_2 \in N_1 * N_2$ induce the natural monomorphisms $\iota : l_1 \otimes n_1 \in L_1 \otimes N_1 \mapsto l_1 \otimes n_1 \in (L_1 * L_2) \otimes (N_1 * N_2)$ and $j : l_2 \otimes n_2 \in L_2 \otimes N_2 \mapsto l_2 \otimes n_2 \in (L_1 * L_2) \otimes (N_1 * N_2)$ and so the following map $\mu : (l_1 \otimes n_1, l_2 \otimes n_2) \in (L_1 \otimes N_1) \oplus (L_2 \otimes N_2) \mapsto \iota(l_1 \otimes n_1) + j(l_2 \otimes n_2) \in (L_1 * L_2) \otimes (N_1 * N_2)$ restricts to an injective homomorphism

$$\zeta : J_2(L_1, N_1) \oplus J_2(L_2, N_2) \rightarrow J_2(L_1 * L_2, N_1 * N_2).$$

On the other hand,

$$\eta : \frac{N_1}{[N_1, L_1]} \otimes \frac{N_2}{[N_2, L_2]} \mapsto (n_1 \otimes n_2) + (n_2 \otimes n_1) \in J_2(L_1 * L_2, N_1 * N_2),$$

where $\overline{n_1} = n_1 + [N_1, L_1]$ and $\overline{n_2} = n_2 + [N_2, L_2]$, is a well defined epimorphism. Hence we consider $\xi = \zeta \oplus \eta$, where

$$\xi : (x, y, z) \in J_2(L_1, N_1) \oplus J_2(L_2, N_2) \oplus \left(\frac{N_1}{[L_1, N_1]} \otimes \frac{N_2}{[L_2, N_2]} \right) \mapsto$$

$$\xi(x, y, z) = (\zeta \oplus \eta)(x, y, z) = \zeta(x, y) + \eta(z) \in J_2(L_1 * L_2, N_1 * N_2).$$

We claim that ξ is bijective. Firstly, we note that

$$p : (L_1 * L_2) \otimes (N_1 * N_2) \rightarrow (L_1 \otimes N_1) \oplus (L_2 \otimes N_2) \oplus (L_1 \otimes N_2) \oplus (L_2 \otimes N_2)$$

is a canonical epimorphism and so

$$\xi(x, y, z) = 0 \Leftrightarrow (p \circ \xi)(x, y, 0) = (p \circ \xi)(0, 0, -z) \Leftrightarrow \xi(x, y, 0) = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = y = z = 0.$$

This is enough to conclude that ξ is injective. Now we show that ξ is surjective. It is clear that $(L_1 * L_2) \otimes (N_1 * N_2)$ is finitely presented, because we have generators and relations by definition of $\otimes$. Using i, j and μ , $L_1 \otimes N_1$, $L_2 \otimes N_2$, $L_1 \otimes N_2$, $L_2 \otimes N_1$ (and their sums) are isomorphic to suitable ideals of $(L_1 * L_2) \otimes (N_1 * N_2)$. Then we may consider $t \in (L_1 * L_2) \otimes (N_1 * N_2)$, $U = L_1 \otimes N_1$, $W = L_2 \otimes N_2$, $V = (L_1 \otimes N_2) + (L_2 \otimes N_1)$ and $t = u + v + w$ for some $u \in U$, $v \in V$, $w \in W$. Here the commutator map $\kappa_{L_1 * L_2, N_1 * N_2} = \kappa$ is defined by

$$\kappa : t \in (L_1 * L_2) \otimes (N_1 * N_2) \mapsto \kappa(t) = a + b + c \in [N_1, L_1] \oplus [N_2, L_2] \oplus [L_1, L_2]$$

and is an epimorphism. Note that $\kappa(t) = 0 \Leftrightarrow a = b = 0$ and so $\kappa(t) = \kappa(v) = c = 0$. This implies $u \in J_2(L_1, N_1)$ and $w \in J_2(L_2, N_2)$. We also note that $\kappa(v)$ is freely reduced in $[L_1, L_2]$, whenever v does not contain words of the form $k_1 = (n_1 \otimes n_2) + (n_2 \otimes n_1)$ or $k_2 = (n_2 \otimes n_1) + (n_1 \otimes n_2)$ in it. This means that there is no loss of generality in assuming $v = h + k_i + l$ with $h, l \in V$, $i = 1, 2$ and k_1, k_2 as before. Note that $\kappa(h + l) = \kappa(v) = 0$. Now one can do induction on the number of expressions of the form k_1, k_2 and note that η is an epimorphism. This allows us to conclude that ξ is surjective and so we have

$$J_2(L_1 * L_2, N_1 * N_2) \simeq J_2(L_1, N_1) \oplus J_2(L_2, N_2) \oplus \left(\frac{N_1}{[N_1, L_1]} \otimes \frac{N_2}{[N_2, L_2]} \right).$$

(ii). Having in mind ι and j of the previous step (i), we may deduce from (1.1) the commutativity of the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \Gamma \left(\frac{L_1 * L_2}{[N_1 * N_2, L_1 * L_2]} \right) & \xrightarrow{\lambda} & J_2(L_1 * L_2, N_1 * N_2) & \longrightarrow & M(L_1 * L_2, N_1 * N_2) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \Gamma \left(\frac{L_1 \oplus L_2}{[N_1 \oplus N_2, L_1 \oplus L_2]} \right) & \xrightarrow{\nu} & J_2(L_1 \oplus L_2, N_1 \oplus N_2) & \longrightarrow & M(L_1 \oplus L_2, N_1 \oplus N_2) \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

which has exact sequences as rows. Since

$$\text{Im } \lambda = \langle x \otimes x \mid x \in (L_1 * L_2) \cap (N_1 * N_2) \rangle = (L_1 * L_2) \square (N_1 * N_2)$$

and

$$\text{Im } \nu = \langle (a, b) \otimes (a, b) \mid (a, b) \in (L_1 \otimes L_2) \oplus (N_1 \otimes N_2) \rangle = (L_1 \oplus L_2) \square (N_1 \oplus N_2),$$

our scope is to prove that $\text{Im } \lambda \simeq \text{Im } \nu$.

We note that the epimorphism $L_1 * L_2 \longrightarrow L_1 \oplus L_2$ induces an epimorphism

$$\beta : (L_1 * L_2) \otimes (L_1 * L_2) \longrightarrow (L_1 \oplus L_2) \otimes (L_1 \oplus L_2)$$

which may be restricted to an epimorphism $\beta|$ from $\text{Im } \lambda$ onto $\text{Im } \nu$. So, it is enough to find a left inverse for $\beta|$. We proceed to do this.

Keeping the notations of the above step (i), the canonical homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi : (L_1 \oplus N_1) \otimes (L_2 \oplus N_1) \otimes (L_1 \oplus N_2) \otimes (L_2 \oplus N_2) \rightarrow \\ (L_1 \otimes N_1) \oplus (L_2 \otimes N_1) \oplus (L_1 \otimes N_2) \oplus (L_2 \otimes N_2) \end{aligned}$$

induces the following epimorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma : (L_1 \oplus N_1) \otimes (L_2 \oplus N_1) \otimes (L_1 \oplus N_2) \otimes (L_2 \oplus N_2) \rightarrow & \left(\frac{L_1}{[N_1, L_1]} \otimes \frac{N_1}{[N_1, L_1]} \right) \oplus \\ & \left(\frac{L_2}{[N_2, L_2]} \otimes \frac{N_1}{[N_1, L_1]} \right) \oplus \left(\frac{L_1}{[N_1, L_1]} \otimes \frac{N_2}{[N_2, L_2]} \right) \oplus \left(\frac{L_2}{[N_2, L_2]} \otimes \frac{N_2}{[N_2, L_2]} \right). \end{aligned}$$

We denote by proj_2 the projection of σ onto the second factor. Now we have all that is necessary to define the left inverse of $\beta|$, this is the map

$$\theta = \beta| \circ \text{proj}_2 \circ \sigma| \circ \zeta \circ \varphi|$$

where $\varphi|$ denotes the restriction of φ to $\text{Im } \nu$ and $\sigma|$ that of σ again to $\text{Im } \nu$. Now one can check that $\theta \circ \beta| = 1$ and the result follows.

(iii). Applying [5, Lemma 7 (iii)] twice, that is, the distributive law of the form $(L \oplus M) \otimes N \simeq (L \otimes N) \oplus (M \otimes N)$ for three given Lie algebras L , M and N , we get the isomorphism

$$(L_1 \oplus L_2) \otimes (N_1 \oplus N_2) \simeq (L_1 \otimes N_1) \oplus (L_2 \otimes N_1) \oplus (L_1 \otimes N_2) \oplus (L_2 \otimes N_2)$$

and this induces $(L_1 \oplus L_2) \square (N_1 \oplus N_2) \simeq (L_1 \square N_1) \oplus (L_2 \square N_2) \oplus V$, where $V = \langle (n_2 \otimes n_1) + (n_1 \otimes n_2) \mid n_1 \in N_1, n_2 \in N_2 \rangle \subseteq (L_2 \otimes N_1) + (L_1 \otimes N_2)$. Since N_1 admits a complement in L_1 , the homomorphism η in (i) above extends to an isomorphism onto V . Therefore the result follows from (ii) above and the commutativity of (1.1). $\square$

It is possible to describe the Lie algebra quotient $J_2(L_1 \oplus L_2, N_1 \oplus N_2)/J_2(L_1 * L_2, N_1 * N_2)$ very well and this is done in the following result.

Corollary 3.4. *Let N_1 and N_2 two ideals of two finite dimensional Lie algebras L_1 and L_2 such that $(L_1 * L_2, N_1 * N_2)$ is a pair. Then*

$$\frac{J_2(L_1 \oplus L_2, N_1 \oplus N_2)}{J_2(L_1 * L_2, N_1 * N_2)} \simeq \frac{N_1}{[N_1, L_1]} \otimes \frac{N_2}{[N_2, L_2]}.$$

Proof. This follows from Theorem 3.3 and Corollary 2.10. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Araskhan and M. R. Rismanchian, Some properties on the Schur multiplier of a pair of Lie algebras, *J. Algebra Appl.* (2012) 11, Paper ID: 1250011.
- [2] M. Araskhan and M. R. Rismanchian, Some inequalities for the dimension of the Schur multiplier of a pair of (nilpotent) Lie algebras, *J. Algebra* **352** (2012) 173–179.
- [3] B. Edalatzaheh, F. Saeedi and A.R. Salemkar, The commutator subalgebra and Schur multiplier of a pair of nilpotent Lie algebras, *J. Lie Theory* **21** (2011), 491–498.
- [4] G.J. Ellis, Nonabelian exterior products of Lie algebras and an exact sequence in the homology of Lie algebras, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **46** (1987), 111–115.
- [5] G.J. Ellis, A nonabelian tensor product of Lie algebras, *Glasgow Math. J.* **33** (1991), 101–120.
- [6] G.J. Ellis, The Schur multiplier of a pair of groups, *Appl. Categ. Structures* **6** (1998), 355–371.
- [7] G.J. Ellis, Relative derived functors and the homology of groups, *Cah. Topologie Géom. Différ. Catég.* **31** (1990), 121–135.

- [8] D. Guin, Cohomologie des algebres de Lie croisees et K -theorie de Milnor additif, *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* **45** (1995), 93–118.
- [9] D. Guin, Cohomologie et homologie nonabéliennes des groupes, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **50** (1988), 109–137.
- [10] A.W. Knap, *Lie groups, Lie algebras and cohomology*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1988.
- [11] B. Mashayekhy, H. Mirebrahimi and Z. Vasagh, A topological approach to the nilpotent multipliers of a free product, *Georgian Math. J.* **21** (2014), 89–96.
- [12] P. Niroomand and F.G. Russo, A restriction on the Schur multiplier of a nilpotent Lie algebra, *Elec. J. Linear Algebra* **22** (2011), 1–9.
- [13] P. Niroomand, Some properties on the tensor square of Lie algebras, *J. Algebra Appl.* **11** (2012), ID 1250085, 6 pp.
- [14] P. Niroomand, M. Parvizi and F.G. Russo, Some criteria for detecting capable Lie algebras, *J. Algebra* **384** (2013), 36–44.
- [15] P. Niroomand and F.G. Russo, Some restrictions on the Betti numbers of a nilpotent Lie algebra, *Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. - Simon Stevin* **21** (2014), 403–413.
- [16] D.E. Otera, F.G. Russo and C. Tanasi, Some algebraic and topological properties of the nonabelian tensor product, *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.* **50** (2013), 1069–1077.
- [17] D. Simson and A. Tyc, Connected sequences of stable derived functors and their applications, *Diss. Math.* **111** (1974), 67 pp.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, DAMGHAN UNIVERSITY, DAMGHAN, IRAN
E-mail address: `niroomand@du.ac.ir`, `p_niroomand@yahoo.com`

DEPARTMENT OF PURE MATHEMATICS, FERDOWSI UNIVERSITY OF MASHHAD, MASHHAD, IRAN

DEPARTMENT OF PURE MATHEMATICS, FERDOWSI UNIVERSITY OF MASHHAD, MASHHAD, IRAN
E-mail address: `parvizi@math.um.ac.ir`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND APPLIED MATHEMATICS
 UNIVERSITY OF CAPE TOWN
 PRIVATE BAG X1, 7701, RONDEBOSCH
 CAPE TOWN, SOUTH AFRICA
E-mail address: `francescog.russo@yahoo.com`